



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
09/508,874	06/08/2000	GEOFFREY MAITLAND	95.0110	3542	•
27551	7590 08/14/2002				
STEPHEN H. CAGLE			EXAMINER		
750 BERING		IITE LLP	TUCKER, PHILIP C		•
HOUSTON, TX 77057			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
			1712	1.1	•
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	: "	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	508874	MAITLAND	
Office Action Summary	Examiner 0	MAITLAND Group Art Unit KER 1712	
	1. 100	KER 1712	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address-	
Period for Reply	2		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA	TE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the madjustment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b). 	reply within the statutory mini ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tatute, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely in the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>f</i> .
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{5}{30}$	92		_•
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	ot for formal matters, pros 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 22 − 5 4			
Of the above claim(s)			n.
X Claim(s) 43, 54		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 22 - 37, 39, 41, 42, 44 - 5			-
Q Claim(s) 38, 40, 51			
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement	n
Application Papers	in [7] amounted	•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.		_ disapproveo.	
	scied to by the Examiner		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		1-A	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	-(a).	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	raceived		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	•	0.	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document			
in this national stage application from the Internation		(a))	
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	No(s) 🗆 🗆	terview Summary, PTO-413	
	•	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTC)-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9		ther	
Office	Action Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/508874 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants amendment contained 2 claims numbered 53, thus the second claim was numbered 54 under Rule 1.126.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 39, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 39, the term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

There is no antecedent basis for "the alkane sulfonic acids" and "the arene sulfonic acids" in claim 46 or in parent claim 25.

Application/Control Number: 09/508874 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 22-37, 41, 42, 44-50, 52, 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. (5348938).

Mueller teaches an invert drilling fluid which comprises a continuous phase of an alcohol, or an alcohol and an ester, and which further comprises salts, weighting agents and clays (see examples). The continuous phase would contain a least a minute amount of water dissolved therein. Such invert emulsions would inherently possess the properties disclosed for the present invention.

Claims 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-34, 37, 41, 42, 44-47, 52, 53 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bland et al. (5141920).

Bland teaches a drilling fluid which comprises a brine in glycol invert emulsion, and further comprises salts, clay and weighting agents. The continuous phase would contain a least a

Application/Control Number: 09/508874 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

minute amount of water dissolved therein. Such invert emulsions would inherently possess the properties disclosed for the present invention.

- 7. Claims 38, 40 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 43 and 54 are allowable over the art of record.
- 9. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant has argued that the Bland and Mueller references do not teach the specified parameters of the present invention. However, Bland and Mueller teach the use of the same polar organic liquids as in the present invention, and thus would have the same properties as the liquids used herein. The value of 10 S m⁻¹ is so low that the fluids taught by Bland and Mueller would inherently possess this value of electrical conductivity. Applicant has not shown by evidence, or given any specific reasons why, the fluids disclosed by Bland and Mueller would not possess such a low level of electrical conductivity. With respect to claims 25 and 34, and those dependent thereon, although the fluids of Bland and Mueller do not teach any salts dissolved in the POL, some amount of water would clearly be dissolved in the alcohols and polyethers disclosed therein. The present rejections are thus maintained.

Art Unit: 1712

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2616 August 9, 2002

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712